PX0483

EXHIBIT

From itgmsm!tomev Fri Sep 24 07:58:01 1993 Message-Id: (9309241456,AA07417@itgmsm)

From: tomev To: jonl

Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Fri, 24 Sep 93 07:55:00 PDT

X-Mailer: Microsoft Mail V3.0

I went over this in some detail with Bill yesterday and he says "no" since capone is part of Chicago. If you think this is wrong, you should talk to him about it (see other mail from yesterday). The Chicago guys own the APIs. As of now, there are interfaces used in Capone which Chicago does not plan to publish.

From: Jonathan Lazarus

To: tomev

Subject: RE: integration with Chicago

Date: Thursday, September 23, 1993 09:49PM

If we use them we have to publish them.

From: Tom Evslin

To: Doug Henrich Cc: John Ludwig

Subject: FW: integration with Chicago

Date: 1993-09-23 06:38

fyi. I'll discuss with Bill but, if you feel strongly that these need to

public, you may want to discuss with the Chicago guys. I don't feel strongly either way.

From: Joe Belfiore

To: tomey

Cc: kenong

Subject: RE: integration with Chicago

Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:39PM

It's unclear whether we'll publish them or not for our release. Bill is \*very\* aware of this as an issue, so you can bring it up with him. He may be instrumental in deciding whether or not it's important for us to do the work to make these "palatable".

From: Tom Evslin

To: Joe Belfiore

Cc: H.K. Ken Ong

Subject: RE: integration with Chicago

Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 9:31AM

Are you planning to publish them by Chicago release? Ken is under the impression that you are. I'm not sure we have to do this but I have to know

very clearly whether we are or not. If we don't, then no other client

integrate with Chicago as closely as Capone does. MS 5033031

What makes them "not ready for regular use"?

I'd appreciate a quick response on this since its one of the topics on a

list of things for me to discuss with billg tomorrow.

Thanks.  From: Joe Belfiore TO: Lonev Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Mechesday, September 22, 1993.07:56AM  There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now, They aren't ready for regular use    Prom: Tom Evalin   To: Joe Belfione Subject: FW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:43PM    Joe:   Joe:   Joe:   Thanks.   From: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM    The part of the Shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.   From: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM    Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?   From: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.0:55PM    Mo in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?   From: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.0:55PM    No in Chicagoland owns the publishing of theirs   From: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:34PM    We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?   From: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.0:13DPM    Prom: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   To: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   Subject: Re: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.0:13DPM    From: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   Subject: Re: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:17PM    Confidence   To: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   Subject: Re: Integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:17PM    Confidence   To: Tom Evalin   To: Ken Ong   Subject: Re: Integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:17PM	<u> </u>		BRITANIA PROPRIATE PROPRIA			
To: tomew Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:56AM There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now. They aren't ready for regular use  From: Tom Evelin To: Joe Belfiore Subject: RW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:43PM  Joe: Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RR: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ren Ong Subject: RR: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RR: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Ren Ong To: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RB: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  we didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RB: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:34PM  we didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RB: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the unswer is no  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the unswer is no  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Times Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago		1	Fhanks.			
To: tomew Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:56AM There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now. They aren't ready for regular use  From: Tom Evelin To: Joe Belfiore Subject: RW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:43PM  Joe: Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Ren Ong To: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  we didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:34PM  we didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's the unswer is no  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's the unswer is no	"	†	Trom: Joe Belfiore			
Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:56AM  There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now. They aren't ready for regular use  From: Tom Evslin To: Joe Belfione Subject: We: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 5:43PM  Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Cog To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Cog Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Cog To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Cog Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cog To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Evslin To: Ken Cog Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Data: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Cong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Cong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: integration is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the	ļ.,.					
There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now. They aren't ready for regular use  From: Tom Evelin To: Joe Belfione Subject: RW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.5:43PM  Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Cog To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Cog Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Cog To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Cog Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - he answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Cog Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - he answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Cog Subject: Integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - he answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Cog Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM	ĺ					
now. They aren't ready for regular use  From: Tom Evelin To: Joe Belfiore Subject: RW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:43PM  Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:54PM  pope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the	-	[]	Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993_07:56AM			
now. They aren't ready for regular use  From: Tom Evelin To: Joe Belfiore Subject: RW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:43PM  Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:54PM  pope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the	Ì	Į,	Phorois no schodule (on even plan) to publish any of these fateurs			
From: Tom Evelin To: Joe Belfione Subject: W: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:43PM  Joe: Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  we didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evelin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: Integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evelin To: Ken Ong Subject: Integration with Chicago		1	now They aren't ready for regular use			
To: Joe Belfiore Subject: FW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:43PM  Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:12PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Ton Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  MS 5033032	L	l.	ion, they dreat a ready for regular ase			
Subject: FW: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:43PM  Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Bate: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago		T				
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:43PM  Joe: Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule? Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evalin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evalin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evalin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evalin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evalin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Ton Evalin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  If your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Ton Evalin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  From: Ton Evalin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago	ļ	4	To: Joe Belfiore			
Joe:  Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin. Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Shidject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Late: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  MS 5033032		ŀ	Subject: FW: integration with Chicago			
Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. be'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: fom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Data: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Data: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Integration with Chicago	1-	†	Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 5:43PM			
Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. be'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: fom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Data: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Data: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 .03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Integration with Chicago	l.,	1				
Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?  Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Thesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Yom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Thesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Thesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Thesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Thesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's the answer is ho  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Thesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's the answer is ho  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago	I	1	Joe:			
Thanks.  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032	ļ.,	. <b>.</b> [				
From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago			Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?			
From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993.03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago	-	1	Thanks.			
To: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Cng From: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago		١.,				
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the put for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To. Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the lanswer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the lanswer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032		ı				
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM  the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To. Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: NIE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032		-				
the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their apl. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			Market Ma			
From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - The To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: Integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - The To: Ken Ong Subject: Integration with Chicago Subject: Integration with Chicago		1"	the both to the served the both his of from the boy between the both the bo			
From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - The To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: Integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - The To: Ken Ong Subject: Integration with Chicago Date: To: Ken Ong Subject: Integration with Chicago	ļ	.ļ.,	the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the			
To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago			right person.			
To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago	٠	ŀ	Down Por Drolin			
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM  Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.    From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago						
Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago	,	1	Subject: RE: integration with Chicago			
From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: integration with Chicago	,		Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM			
From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: integration with Chicago			Who in Ohiomed and arms the wilding of the same			
To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago Subject: integration with Chicago	****	1	who in the agoldne owns the publishing of those APIS?			
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin  To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's -  the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago			From: Ken Ong			
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM  nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin  To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's -  the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago						
nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.  From: Tom Evslin  To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago	**	-				
as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs  From: Tom Evslin  To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago		П	Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM			
as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs  From: Tom Evslin  To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago	****	ľ	nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish			
From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago	,	IJ	as part of their api we don't change anything of theirs			
To: Ken Ong Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's the answer is no  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032	••••	1-1	From: Tom EVSIIN			
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM  We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's -  the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			Subject: RE: integration with Chicago			
We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30pm  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago  MS 5033032			Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM			
We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?  From: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30pm  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago  MS 5033032		╌┞				
Trom: Ken Ong To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the lanswer is no  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?			
To: Tom Evslin Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago  MS 5033032	****		From Kan Ong			
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the lanswer is no From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032						
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM  if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's — the lanswer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago  MS 5033032	•••		Subject: RE: integration with Chicago			
if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's - the lanswer is no. From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago  MS 5033032			Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM			
the lanswer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			if your mestion is whether groups were well-in-			
answer is no.  From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032	-	the				
From: Tom Evslin To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032						
To: Ken Ong Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			and all the of the state of the			
Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032	*****	┢	From: Tom EVSLIN			
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:17PM CONFIDENTIAL	_		Subject: integration with Chicago MS 5033032			
			Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:17PM CONFIDENTIAL			
		1				

Is there anything we've done in integrating capone with Chicago that a 3d party (Lotus for example) won't be able to do? Probably not a problem if so but I would like to know.			
	•		
	- the state of the		
	3 MacMMM theory of company and the company and		
	egygyddilloddiblyddi gyggagga afertygi palaglychon o'r casti cl. eddible.		
	0. dad 19. 9. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19. 19.		
•	The state of the s		
entropy in the state of the sta	w.pep.p.p.1177.00(1011);741.174.174.174.174.144.144.144.144.744.174.17		
	and the state of t		
by	(T144		
	·//·······		
	y magazina e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e		
	The state of the s		
	necessity of the process of the proc		
·			
	;		
	ant-talentrales parties to the best of the factor and the feet of the feet		
	· ·		
	ONE TO THE CONTRACT OF THE CON		
	PATTERNAL PROPERTY OF THE PARTY		
	- Annual Control of the Control of t		
	MS 5033033		
•	CONFIDENTIAL		

PX0483