

PX0483

EXHIBIT

BELFIORE-4
11/13/09-JA

From itgmsm!tomev Fri Sep 24 07:58:01 1993
 Message-Id: <9309241456.AA07417@itgmsm>
 From: tomev
 To: jonl
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Fri, 24 Sep 93 07:55:00 PDT
 X-Mailer: Microsoft Mail V3.0

I went over this in some detail with Bill yesterday and he says "no" since capone is part of Chicago. If you think this is wrong, you should talk to him about it (see other mail from yesterday). The Chicago guys own the APIs. As of now, there are interfaces used in Capone which Chicago does not plan to publish.

From: Jonathan Lazarus
 To: tomev
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Thursday, September 23, 1993 09:49PM

If we use them we have to publish them.

From: Tom Evslin
 To: Doug Henrich
 Cc: John Ludwig
 Subject: FW: integration with Chicago
 Date: 1993-09-23 06:38

fyi. I'll discuss with Bill but, if you feel strongly that these need to be public, you may want to discuss with the Chicago guys. I don't feel strongly either way.

From: Joe Belfiore
 To: tomev
 Cc: kenong
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:39PM

It's unclear whether we'll publish them or not for our release. Bill is very aware of this as an issue, so you can bring it up with him. He may be instrumental in deciding whether or not it's important for us to do the work to make these "palatable".

From: Tom Evslin
 To: Joe Belfiore
 Cc: H.K. Ken Ong
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 9:31AM

Are you planning to publish them by Chicago release? Ken is under the impression that you are. I'm not sure we have to do this but I have to know very clearly whether we are or not. If we don't, then no other client can integrate with Chicago as closely as Capone does.

MS 5033031
 CONFIDENTIAL

What makes them "not ready for regular use"?

I'd appreciate a quick response on this since its one of the topics on a list of things for me to discuss with billg tomorrow.

EXHIBIT KA

EX 7

Mered 3/1/09

PX0483

Thanks.

From: Joe Belfiore
 To: tomev
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:56AM

There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now. They aren't ready for regular use...

From: Tom Evslin
 To: Joe Belfiore
 Subject: FW: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:43PM

Joe:

Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?

Thanks.

From: Ken Ong
 To: Tom Evslin
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM

the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.

From: Tom Evslin
 To: Ken Ong
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:32PM

Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?

From: Ken Ong
 To: Tom Evslin
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM

nope - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.

From: Tom Evslin
 To: Ken Ong
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM

We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?

From: Ken Ong
 To: Tom Evslin
 Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM

if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's -
 the answer is no.

From: Tom Evslin
 To: Ken Ong
 Subject: integration with Chicago
 Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:17PM

MS 5033032
 CONFIDENTIAL

PX0483

Is there anything we've done in integrating capone with Chicago that a 3d party (Lotus for example) won't be able to do? Probably not a problem if so but I would like to know.

MS 5033033
CONFIDENTIAL

PX0483