

PLAINTIFF'S  
EXHIBIT

1000

Comes v. Microsoft

From: Brad Silverberg [DWGROUP/BLACK/brads]  
Sent: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 8:59 AM  
To: Bob Kruger  
Subject: RE: opendoc dudes

what do you "disclosure"? we're not going to tell or give anything to taligent.

From: Bob Kruger  
To: brads  
Subject: RE: opendoc dudes  
Date: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 10:35AM

i don't intend to license taligent either. i just want the disclosure.

thanx. -bobkr

From: Brad Silverberg  
To: Bob Kruger  
Subject: RE: opendoc dudes  
Date: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 09:56

NFW for Taligent. They are fundamentally an OS competitor and they know it. Read the JoeG interview in Infoworld. It will take a direct order from BillG for me to let Taligent in. They are not writing windows apps; they are writing an OS on top of a base kernel (chicago, os/2, net, wpos, etc).

Borland has announced support for OpenDoc and has been listed in a number of stories about OpenDoc as a CIL member. I don't know whether they actually are though.

From: Bob Kruger  
To: brads  
Subject: RE: opendoc dudes  
Date: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 8:29AM

REDACTED

i intend to call them for a disclosure. we'll see.

i already cleared things w/ paulma re. the CIL members. i'll send email about borland. so far as i know, they're PWI supporters. mail from phillipe to jonl said that they did not do wabi certification, that sun did it.

-bobkr

From: Brad Silverberg  
To: Bob Kruger  
Subject: RE: opendoc dudes  
Date: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 08:03

taligent is already on the npr list so shouldn't be getting chicago. and we're already negotiating with xsoft. borland will probably hit the roof. but i think if you handle it smoothly they will understand. bill definitely wanted wordperfect restricted since they are the ones doing opendoc for windows. i would also say to let jonl and paulma know ahead of time so if there is disagreement, let's talk now.

MS-PCA 2412236  
CONFIDENTIAL

EXHIBIT 3

KRUGER  
1-29-09

||From: Bob Kruger  
||To: bradsi  
||Subject: RE: opendoc dudes  
||Date: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 7:28AM

||well, i guess this means terminating current NDAs covering Chicago and  
||sending a new one. i can have legal send a letter to this effect  
||along w/ the new license.

||we've got word perfect, borland, xsoft, Oracle, and Tallgent. have i  
||missed anyone?

||-bobkr

||-----  
||From: Brad Silverberg  
||To: Bob Kruger  
||Subject: RE: opendoc dudes  
||Date: Wednesday, January 26, 1994 04:22

||The premise is that we need to protect our confidential info  
||from compititive efforts. Just because we were not as complete  
||the first time does not mean we need to propagate the error.

||For example, when Borland sends us a language product to  
||test compatibilty, they ensure that our languages group  
||does not have access. When WordPerfect sends us an app,  
||they ensure our apps group does not have access.

||So, as we've become aware of their involvement in competitive  
||os activities, we need to ensure that they are restricted  
||from msft trade secret os info.