



Teresa Jennings

From: Jonathan Lazarus
To: Mike Maples; Paul Maritz
Subject: FW: integration with Chicago
Date: Friday, September 24, 1993 8:15AM

This is D U M B!!!

From: Tom Evslin
To: Jonathan Lazarus
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Friday, September 24, 1993 7:55AM

I went over this in some detail with Bill yesterday and he says "no" since capone is part of Chicago. If you think this is wrong, you should talk to him about it (see other mail from yesterday). The Chicago guys own the APIs. As of now, there are interfaces used in Capone which Chicago does not plan to publish.

From: Jonathan Lazarus
To: tomev
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Thursday, September 23, 1993 09:49PM

If we use them we have to publish them.

From: Tom Evslin
To: Doug Henrich
Cc: John Ludwig
Subject: FW: integration with Chicago
Date: 1993-09-23 06:38

fyi. I'll discuss with Bill but, if you feel strongly that these need to be

public, you may want to discuss with the Chicago guys. I don't feel strongly either way.

From: Joe Belfiore
To: tomev
Cc: kenong
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:39PM

It's unclear whether we'll publish them or not for our release. Bill is "very" aware of this as an issue, so you can bring it up with him. He may be instrumental in deciding whether or not it's important for us to do the work to make these "palatable".

From: Tom Evslin
To: Joe Belfiore
Cc: H.K. Ken Ong
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 9:31AM

Are you planning to publish them by Chicago release? Ken is under the impression that you are. I'm not sure we have to do this but I have to know very clearly whether we are or not. If we don't, then no other client can

integrate with Chicago as closely as Capone does

What makes them "not ready for regular use"?

I'd appreciate a quick response on this since its one of the topics on a list of things for me to discuss with billg tomorrow.

Thanks.

From: Joe Belfiore
To: tomav
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Wednesday, September 22, 1993 07:56AM

There's no schedule (or even plan) to publish any of these interfaces now. They aren't ready for regular use...

From: Tom Evslin
To: Joe Belfiore
Subject: FW: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 6:43PM

Joe:

Are you guys still planning to publish these APIs? Is there a schedule?

Thanks.

From: Ken Ong
To: Tom Evslin
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 06:38PM

the pm for the shell is joeb. he'd be the one or at least he'd know the right person.

From: Tom Evslin
To: Ken Ong
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 8:32PM

Who in Chicagoland owns the publishing of those APIs?

From: Ken Ong
To: Tom Evslin
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:54PM

nops - what we need we ask them to provide and they'll publish as part of their api. we don't change anything of theirs.

From: Tom Evslin
To: Ken Ong
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:34PM

We didn't modify the source to explorer or anything like that?

From: Ken Ong
To: Tom Evslin
Subject: RE: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 03:30PM

||| if your question is whether capone uses unpublished chicago api's -
the
answer is no.

From: Tom Evslin
To: Ken Ong
Subject: integration with Chicago
Date: Tuesday, September 21, 1993 3:17PM

Is there anything we've done in integrating capone with
Chicago that a 3d party (Lotus for example) won't be able to
do? Probably not a problem if so but I would like to know.