Exhibit 1

1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3	SAN JOSE DIVISION
4	
5	ADDIE INC. A CALLEODNIA V. C. 11 01046 I UV
6	APPLE INC., A CALIFORNIA) C-11-01846 LHK CORPORATION,)
7) SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA PLAINTIFF,)
8) JUNE 21, 2012 VS.) PAGES 1-108
9	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,) LTD., A KOREAN BUSINESS)
10	ETD., A KOREAN BUSINESS) ENTITY; SAMSUNG) ELECTRONICS AMERICA,)
11	INC., A NEW YORK) CORPORATION; SAMSUNG)
12	TELECOMMUNICATIONS) AMERICA, LLC, A DELAWARE)
13	LIMITED LIABILITY) COMPANY,)
14	DEFENDANTS.)
15	
16	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HONORABLE LUCY H. KOH
17	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
18	
19	
20	APPEARANCES ON NEXT PAGE
21	
22	
23	
24	OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER: LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595
25	
	1

1	SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA JUNE 21, 2012
2	PROCEEDINGS
3	(WHEREUPON, COURT CONVENED AND THE
4	FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD:)
5	THE CLERK: CALLING CASE NUMBER
6	C-11-01846 LHK, APPLE, INCORPORATED VERSUS SAMSUNG
7	ELECTRONICS COMPANY LIMITED, ET AL.
8	MR. MCELHINNY: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR
9	HONOR. FOR THE PLAINTIFF, HAROLD MCELHINNY AND
10	MICHAEL JACOBS.
11	MR. LEE: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. ALSO
12	FOR APPLE, MARK SELWYN AND BILL LEE.
13	THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON.
14	MR. JOHNSON: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
15	KEVIN JOHNSON FOR SAMSUNG, AND WITH ME ARE
16	VICKI MAROULIS AND MIKE ZELLER.
17	MR. ZELLER: GOOD AFTERNOON, YOUR HONOR.
18	THE COURT: OKAY. GOOD AFTERNOON.
19	OKAY. I HAVE QUESTIONS. SAMSUNG'S
20	MOTION CHALLENGES ALL OF APPLE'S CAUSES OF ACTION,
21	AND I HAVE QUESTIONS BASICALLY ON EACH.
22	LET'S START WITH THE TRADE DRESS, TRADE
23	DRESS DILUTION ISSUE.
24	LET ME ASK, AND IF MR. MCELHINNY, ARE
25	YOU HANDLING THIS ISSUE?

Τ	WAS LET ME ASK, WITH REGARD TO THE '381, WHETHER
2	YOU HAVE THE SAME POSITION ON CONSTRUCTION OF AN
3	"ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT," BUT YOU'RE JUST DISAGREEING
4	HOW TO APPLY THAT CONSTRUCTION? WOULD THAT BE AN
5	ACCURATE STATEMENT, OR NO?
6	MR. JOHNSON: I THINK THAT THERE IS SOME
7	AGREEMENT; YET, AT THE SAME TIME, I HEAR DIFFERENT,
8	DIFFERENT ARGUMENTS COMING FROM THEM ON WHETHER
9	WE WHAT WE SAY IS ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT IS
LO	ACTUALLY MET BY WHAT THEY SAY IS AN ELECTRONIC
L1	DOCUMENT.
L2	SO I HONESTLY DON'T KNOW IF THERE'S A
L3	SPECIFIC DISPUTE OR NOT.
L 4	WE THINK
L5	THE COURT: BUT THAT STILL SOUNDS LIKE
L6	YOU AGREE ON THE CONSTRUCTION, BUT YOU DISAGREE ON
L7	ITS APPLICATION. WHAT YOU JUST SAID, THAT'S WHAT
L8	THAT SOUNDS LIKE TO ME.
L9	MR. JOHNSON: WELL, "ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT"
20	SPECIFICALLY, IT WASN'T SPECIFICALLY ADDRESSED IN
21	THE CONTEXT OF THE CLAIM CONSTRUCTION ORDER. IT
22	WAS "BEYOND THE EDGE OF AN ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT."
23	SO THE ACTUAL TERM "ELECTRONIC DOCUMENT"
24	WE THINK IS FAIRLY STRAIGHTFORWARD AND REFERS TO
25	WEB PAGES AND DIGITAL IMAGES AS EXAMPLES OF

1	ELECTRONIC DOCUMENTS.
2	SO I DON'T THINK THERE'S A SPECIFIC
3	DISPUTE IN THAT RESPECT.
4	THE COURT: WOULD YOU AGREE WITH THAT?
5	MR. JACOBS: I THINK THAT THAT HASN'T
6	BEEN JOINED. THE ISSUE HAS NOT BEEN JOINED
7	FORMALLY AS TO THE CONSTRUCTION OF "ELECTRONIC
8	DOCUMENT."
9	I THINK BOTH SIDES HAVE ADDUCED THEIR
LO	EVIDENCE WITH IMPLICIT DEFINITIONS OF "ELECTRONIC
L1	DOCUMENT."
L2	FOR EXAMPLE, IN THEIR IN THE CASE OF
L3	TABLECLOTH, THERE'S A QUESTION ABOUT WHETHER THE
L 4	TWO IMAGES REPRESENT A SINGLE DOCUMENT OR WHETHER
L5	EACH IMAGE IS A SINGLE DOCUMENT, AND THAT CREATES
L 6	PART OF A FACT ISSUE ABOUT WHETHER TABLECLOTH, AS A
L7	MATTER OF SUBSTANCE, IS ANTICIPATORY.
L8	IN SHORT, I JUST DON'T THINK IT'S JOINED,
L9	YOUR HONOR.
20	THE COURT: WHAT DOES THAT MEAN
21	MR. JOHNSON: I THINK IT
22	THE COURT: THAT IT'S NOT JOINED?
23	DOES THAT MEAN IT'S NOT RIPE OR IT HASN'T
24	MR. JOHNSON: WELL, I THINK HE'S SAYING
2.5	THERE IS A DISPUTE, BECAUSE I THINK HE'S SAYING

,	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document1186-5 Filed07/05/12 Page6 of 6
1	
2	
3	
4	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER
5	
6	
7	
8	I, THE UNDERSIGNED OFFICIAL COURT
9	REPORTER OF THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR
10	THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, 280 SOUTH
11	FIRST STREET, SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA, DO HEREBY
12	CERTIFY:
13	THAT THE FOREGOING TRANSCRIPT,
14	CERTIFICATE INCLUSIVE, CONSTITUTES A TRUE, FULL AND
15	CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF MY SHORTHAND NOTES TAKEN AS
16	SUCH OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER OF THE PROCEEDINGS
17	HEREINBEFORE ENTITLED AND REDUCED BY COMPUTER-AIDED
18	TRANSCRIPTION TO THE BEST OF MY ABILITY.
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	/s/
24	LEE-ANNE SHORTRIDGE, CSR, CRR
25	CERTIFICATE NUMBER 9595