	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2284	1-1 Filed03/20/13	Page1 of 4
1 2 3 4	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111 Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	AN, LLP	
5 6 7 8 9 10	Kathleen M. Sullivan (Cal. Bar No. 242261) kathleensullivan@quinnemanuel.com Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129) kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603) victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5 th Floor Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100		
11 12	Susan R. Estrich (Cal. Bar No. 124009) susanestrich@quinnemanuel.com Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417) michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100		
15 16	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC		
17 18	UNITED STATES NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CA	DISTRICT COURT	
19 20	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	·	01846-LHK (PSG)
20	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION	
22	VS.	ADMINISTRAT	PPORT OF SAMSUNG'S IVE MOTION TO
23 24	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	OPPOSITION T ADMINISTRAT	ION MOTION SEEKING
24	York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS	AN APRIL 3 CA CONFERENCE	SE MANAGEMENT
26	AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,		
27	Defendant.		
28			
02198.51855/5224080.3	BECHER DECL. ISO SAMSUNG'S /	ADMINISTRATIVE MO	Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) DTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2284-1 Filed03/20/13 Page2 of 4

I, Robert J. Becher, declare as follows:

1

I am a partner in the law firm of Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP and
 counsel of record for Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America,
 Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") in the above captioned matter. I am a member in good standing of the state bar of California and admitted to
 practice in this Court. I make this declaration based on personal knowledge and, if called upon to
 do so, could testify competently thereto.

8 2. Starting on March 7, 2013, counsel for Samsung and Apple began to discuss this
9 Court's encouragement in its *Order re: Damages* (Dkt. 2271) that the parties to seek appellate
10 review before any new trial. *Id.* at 26. During the course of these discussions, Samsung proposed
11 that the parties jointly seek partial final judgment under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b) and a
12 stay of the remaining issues pending appeal. Apple proposed that there be no immediate appeals
13 and that the ordered new trial take place promptly. These discussions took place, both orally and by
14 email, over the course of several days between March 7 and 16, 2013.

15 3. On March 15, 2013, Apple proposed that the parties file a joint statement in which 16 Apple would express its view that there should be an immediate new trial subject to certain 17 limitations, while Samsung would express its view that the Court should enter partial final 18 judgment. On March 16, 2013, Samsung suggested the parties instead proceed by way of separate 19 motions to be filed on March 18, 2013, and to proceed on a stipulate briefing schedule. Attached 20 hereto as Exhibit A is a true and correct copy of Apple's email to Samsung dated March 15, 2013, 21 attaching Apple's draft of the proposed joint statement. Attached hereto as Exhibit B is a true and 22 correct copy of Samsung's responsive email dated March 16, 2013.

4. In its March 16 email, Samsung proposed a briefing and hearing schedule for the
parties' two motions. Apple responded first that it requested an extra day (for a total of 8) to oppose
Samsung's motion, and second that its filing would be styled as a Motion for Administrative Relief
as to which no briefing schedule stipulation was required. Samsung replied that it was improper for
Apple to employ that procedure in this context. Attached hereto as Exhibit C is a true and correct

28 02198.51855/5224080.3

-1- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)

_BECHER DECL. ISO SAMSUNG'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2284-1 Filed03/20/13 Page3 of 4

1	copy of this email chain exchanged between the parties on March 16-18, 2013.		
2	5. After Apple filed its "Administrative Motion Seeking an April 3 Case Management		
3	Conference," Dkt. 2280, 2283, Samsung contacted counsel for Apple to request an additional 5		
4	pages to respond to Apple's motion. Apple indicated that it takes no position on this request.		
5	Attached herewith as Exhibit D is a true and correct copy of emails exchanged between the parties		
6	on March 19 and 20, 2013.		
7	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States that the foregoing is		
8	true. Executed on this 20th day of March in Los Angeles, California.		
9	/s/Pohort I Pecher		
10	<u>/s/Robert J. Becher</u> Robert J. Becher		
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 02198.51855/5224080.3	-2- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG)		
	BECHER DECL. ISO SAMSUNG'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT		

	Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2284-1 Filed03/20/13 Page4 of 4		
1	ATTESTATION		
2	I, Victoria Maroulis, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to file this		
3	Stipulation. In compliance with Local Rule 5.1(i), I hereby attest that Robert Becher has concurred		
4	in this filing.		
5			
6	Dated: March 20, 2013 /s/ Victoria Maroulis		
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18 19			
20			
20			
21			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28 02198.51855/5224080.3	$2 \qquad \qquad C_{\text{eq}} N_{\text{e}} = 11 \approx 0.1046 \text{ LHZ} (\text{DSC})$		
5_196.5 1055/522 1 000.5	-3- Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK (PSG) BECHER DECL. ISO SAMSUNG'S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO EXCEED PAGE LIMIT		