EXHIBIT A

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page2 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVA	N, LLP
2	Charles K. Verhoeven (Bar No. 170151) <u>charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com</u>	
3	50 California Street, 22 nd Floor San Francisco, California 94111	
4	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700	
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)	
6	kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Bar No. 202603)	
7	victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5 th Floor	
	Redwood Shores, California 94065-2139	
8	Telephone: (650) 801-5000 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100	
9	Michael T. Zeller (Bar No. 196417)	
10	michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor	
11	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 443-3000	
12	Facsimile: (213) 443-3100	
13	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA	
14	INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
15		
16	UNITED STATES	DISTRICT COURT
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION	
18		
19	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK
20	Plaintiff,	SAMSUNG'S AMENDED OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO APPLE'S SIXTH
21	VS.	SET OF INTERROGATORIES (NO. 14)
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL -
23	Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New	ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY UNDER THE PROTECTIVE ORDER
24	York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,	
25	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,	
26	Defendant.	
27		

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

28

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page3 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

Pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 26 and 33, Defendants Samsung Electronics Co. Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively, "Samsung") respond to Plaintiff Apple Inc.'s ("Apple") Sixth Set of Interrogatories as follows:

GENERAL STATEMENT

The following responses are based on discovery available as of the date hereof. Discovery is ongoing and continuing, and these responses are subject to change accordingly. It is anticipated that further discovery, independent investigation and analysis may lead to the discovery of additional information or documents, supply additional facts and add meaning to known facts, as well as establish entirely new factual conclusions and legal contentions, all of which may lead to additions to, changes to or variations from the responses set forth herein.

In addition, the following responses are given without prejudice to Samsung's right to produce or rely on subsequently discovered information, facts or documents. Samsung accordingly reserves the right to change the responses herein and/or produce or rely on subsequently discovered documents as additional facts are ascertained, analysis is made, legal research is completed and contentions are made. The responses herein are made in a good faith effort to comply with the provisions of Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and to supply such responsive information as exists and is presently within Samsung's possession, custody or control, but are in no way to be deemed to be to the prejudice of Samsung in relation to further discovery, research and analysis.

An answer to an interrogatory shall not be deemed a waiver of any applicable general or specific objection to an interrogatory. In responding to the interrogatories, Samsung does not waive any objections that may be applicable to the use, for any purpose, of any information or documents provided in response, or the admissibility, relevance, or materiality of any such information or documents to any issue in this case.

Samsung's responses to these interrogatories do not constitute admissions relative to the existence of any documents or information, to the relevance or admissibility of any documents or information, or to the truth or accuracy of any statement or characterization contained in Apple's

Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page4 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

requests. All objections as to relevance, authenticity, or admissibility of any document are expressly reserved.

Samsung expressly incorporates this General Statement and the following General Objections as though set forth fully in response to each of the following individual interrogatories and, to the extent that they are not raised in any particular response, Samsung does not waive those objections.

GENERAL OBJECTIONS

- 1. Samsung objects to the "Definitions" and "Instructions" contained in Apple's Sixth Set of Interrogatories to the extent they are inconsistent with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 2. Samsung objects to Apple's Definition of "Samsung," "You," "Your," and "Defendants" as overly broad to the extent it requires Samsung to pursue information from individuals no longer employed by Samsung whose data is not currently in the possession of Samsung. Samsung further objects to Apple's Definition of "Samsung," "You," "Your," and "Defendants" as overly broad, vague, and ambiguous to the extent it does not define "affiliates," and also to the extent that it requires Samsung to potentially seek information from thousands of people. Samsung will respond to interrogatories based on a reasonable inquiry of individuals expected to possess the requested information.
- 3. Samsung objects to Apple's definition of "Apple" as overly broad, vague, and ambiguous.
- 4. Samsung objects to Apple's definition of "Samsung Covered Product" as overly broad, vague and ambiguous, and irrelevant to the extent it seeks information "at any time" and not limited to the relevant time frame.
- 5. Samsung objects to the definition of "Defined Wireless Stsandards" [sic] as overly broad and overly burdensome to the extent it asks Samsung to provide information relating to standards and/or wireless standards to which the Samsung Patents-in-Suit have not been declared as Essential or relating to standards and/or wireless standards upon which Samsung does not rely in its infringement contentions.

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page5 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

- 6. Samsung objects to the definition of "Samsung's Alleged Essential Technology" as overly broad, and to the extent it seeks information protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, attorney work product doctrine, community of interest doctrine, joint defense privilege, and/or any other applicable privilege.
 - 7. Samsung objects to the definition of "Relating," and each and every interrogatory that uses the term "Relating," as overly broad, vague and ambiguous.
- 8. Samsung objects to the definition of "Third Party" or "Third Parties" as overly broad.
- 9. Samsung objects to these interrogatories as vague and ambiguous to the extent they include terms that are undefined. Samsung in its responses will identify any terms it believes are vague and ambiguous and will assume a reasonable meaning for each such term.
- 10. Samsung objects generally to each interrogatory to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Any inadvertent disclosure of such information shall not be deemed a waiver of the attorney-client privilege, the work product doctrine, or any other applicable privilege or immunity recognized by statute or case law. Samsung will exchange with Apple a log of withheld documents at a time agreed to by counsel for the parties. Samsung also will not log privileged documents that were created on or after April 15, 2011.
- 11. Samsung objects generally to the interrogatories to the extent they seek information from outside a reasonable time period or from a point other than a reasonable time.
- 12. Samsung objects to these interrogatories to the extent they seek to compel Samsung to generate or create information and/or documents that do not already exist.
- 13. Samsung objects generally to the interrogatories to the extent that they prematurely call for contentions, identification of prior art, or identification of witnesses at this stage of the litigation.
- 14. Samsung objects to each interrogatory to the extent it is duplicative or cumulative of another interrogatory.

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page6 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

- 15. Samsung objects to each interrogatory to the extent it is compound and comprises discrete subparts resulting in separate interrogatories.
- 16. Samsung expressly reserves the right to respond to any or all of the interrogatories by specifying documents wherein the responsive information may be ascertained pursuant to Rule 33(d) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 17. Samsung objects generally to the interrogatories to the extent they seek confidential proprietary or trade secret information of third parties. Samsung will endeavor to work with third parties to obtain their consent, if necessary, before identifying or producing such information and/or documents.
- 18. Samsung objects generally to the interrogatories on the grounds that they are overly broad, unduly burdensome, and neither relevant nor reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence.
- 19. Samsung objects to the interrogatories on the ground that they are overly broad, unduly burdensome and oppressive to the extent they purport to require Samsung to search its facilities and inquire of its employees other than those facilities and employees that would reasonably be expected to have responsive information. Samsung's responses are based upon (1) a reasonable search and investigation of facilities and files that could reasonably be expected to contain responsive information, and (2) inquiries of Samsung's employees and/or representatives who could reasonably be expected to possess responsive information.
- 20. Samsung objects to the interrogatories on the grounds that they seek information already in the possession of Apple, publicly available, or as readily available to Apple as it is to Samsung.
- 21. Samsung objects to each interrogatory to the extent that it seeks information before Samsung is required to disclose such information in accordance with any applicable law, such as the Northern District of California Patent Local Rules.
- 22. Samsung objects to the interrogatories on the grounds and to the extent that they seek legal conclusions or call for expert testimony. Samsung's responses should not be construed to provide legal conclusions.

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing General Statement and General Objections, Samsung responds as follows:

INTERROGATORIES

INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

Separately for each of the Samsung Patents-in-Suit, identify each Samsung Covered Product, which Samsung patents is/are embodied in the Samsung Covered Product, the date each Samsung Covered Product was first sold in the United States, and whether each Samsung Covered Product was marked pursuant to 35 U.S.C. section 287 or otherwise, how each product was marked including the location and manner of the marking, the individuals or entities that marked each product, and any interruptions or other changes in the practice of marking the Samsung Covered Product since it was first marked. The Samsung Covered Products shall be identified by commercial name, commercial model number, telecommunications carrier (if applicable), date of product announcement, date of product release, "code name," and any other identifiers used internally and/or externally to identify or refer to the product at any point during its research, design, development, manufacture, marketing, sale, transfer, distribution, testing, qualification, importation, export, or otherwise.

AMENDED RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 14:

In addition to its General Objections above, which it hereby incorporates by reference, Samsung objects to this interrogatory on the grounds that it is vague, ambiguous and overbroad with regard to the terms "Samsung Covered Product" and "interruptions or other changes." Samsung further objects to this interrogatory to the extent that it seeks to elicit information subject to and protected by the attorney-client privilege, the attorney work-product doctrine, the joint defense privilege, the common interest doctrine, and/or any other applicable privilege or immunity. Samsung also objects to this interrogatory to the extent it is compound and comprises at least 7 discrete subparts resulting in separate interrogatories. Samsung also objects to this interrogatory as overbroad, unduly burdensome, and not reasonably calculated to lead to the discovery of admissible evidence. Samsung further objects to this interrogatory to the extent it

Case No. 11-cy-01846-LH

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page8 of 11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

26

27

28

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

seeks information more readily available to Apple than to Samsung, or equally available to Apple as to Samsung, including documents and things that are publicly available.

Subject to the foregoing general and specific objections, Samsung responds as follows:

Samsung has identified at least the following products as examples of products that embody the inventions disclosed in the Samsung patents-in-suit.

The Acclaim was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around June 23, 2010. The Behold was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 13, 2008. The Behold II was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 4, 2009. The Blackjack was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 2, 2007. The Captivate was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around July 9, 2010. The Captivate Glide was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 5, 2011. The Comeback was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around July 13, 2009. The Continuum was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 29, 2010. The Dart was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around June 3, 2011. The DoubleTime was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 8, 2011. The Droid Charge was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around February 17, 2011. The Epic 4G was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around July 28, 2010. The Epix was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 13, 2008. The Eternity was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 7, 2008. The Eternity II was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around August 5, 2010. The Evergreen was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 22, 2010. The Exhibit 4G was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around June 1, 2011. The Exhibit II 4G was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 19, 2011. The Fascinate was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around August 30, 2010. The Flight was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 28, 2009. The Flight II was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around July 27, 2010. The Focus was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 1, 2010. The Focus S was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 28, 2011. The Galaxy Prevail was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page9 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

April 15, 2011. The Galaxy S II was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around
September 15, 2011. The Galaxy S 4G was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around
February 11, 2011. The Galaxy S (i9000) was never sold in the United States. The Gem was first
shipped for sale in the United States on or around January 18, 2011. The Gravity T was first
shipped for sale in the United States on or around May 26, 2010. The Gravity TXT was first
shipped for sale in the United States on or around August 3, 2011. The Gravity 2 was first shipped
for sale in the United States on or around August 3, 2009. The Gravity 3 was first shipped for sale
in the United States on or around May 26, 2010. The Gravity Smart was first shipped for sale in
the United States on or around June 8, 2011. The Highlight was first shipped for sale in the
United States on or around June 26, 2009. The Impression was first shipped for sale in the United
States on or around March 23, 2009. The Indulge was first shipped for sale in the United States on
or around February 8, 2011. The Infuse 4G was first shipped for sale in the United States on or
around April 30, 2011. The Intercept was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around
June 9, 2010. The Jack was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around May 15, 2009.
The Memoir was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around February 9, 2009. The
Mesmerize was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 25, 2010. The
Mythic was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 2, 2009. The Nexus
S was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around December 11, 2010. The Propel was
first shipped for sale in the United States on or around October 20, 2008. The Propel Pro was first
shipped for sale in the United States on or around April 3, 2009. The Replenish was first shipped
for sale in the United States on or around April 14, 2011. The Rugby was first shipped for sale in
the United States on or around September 4, 2008. The Rugby II was first shipped for sale in the
United States on or around May 25, 2010. The Showcase Galaxy S was first shipped for sale in
the United States on or around November 11, 2010. The SGH-A657 was first shipped for sale in
the United States on or around April 3, 2009. The SGH-A777 was first shipped for sale in the
United States on or around November 11, 2008. The SGH-I677 was first shipped for sale in the
United States on or around October 24, 2011. The SGH-I707 was first shipped for sale in the
United States on or around March 12, 2010. The SGH-T528 was first shipped for sale in the

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page10 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

1	United States on or around June 15, 2011. The SGH-T259 was first shipped for sale in the United	
2	States on or around January 28, 2010. The SGH-T636 was first shipped for sale in the United	
3	States on or around November 11, 2008. The SGH-T659 was first shipped for sale in the United	
4	States on or around August 24, 2009. The Sidekick was first shipped for sale in the United States	
5	on or around April 11, 2011. The Smiley was first shipped for sale in the United States on or	
6	around May 26, 2010. The Solstice was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around	
7	July 23, 2009. The Solstice II was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around	
8	November 2, 2010. The Strive was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around March	
9	10, 2010. The Vibrant was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around June 25, 2010.	
10	The Galaxy Tab was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around May 3, 2011. The	
11	Galaxy Tab 8.9 was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around November 11, 2011.	
12	The Galaxy Tab 10.1 was first shipped for sale in the United States on or around May 9, 2011.	
13	Samsung has not marked the products listed above with any of the Samsung patents-in-suit.	
14	Samsung's investigation is ongoing, and Samsung will supplement this interrogatory after	
15	a reasonable investigation. Samsung is further willing to meet and confer regarding the scope of	
16	this interrogatory.	
17	DATED: March 7, 2012 Respectfully submitted,	
18 19	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP	
20		
21	By /s/ Victoria F. Maroulis	
22	Charles K. Verhoeven Kevin P.B. Johnson	
23	Victoria F. Maroulis	
24	Michael T. Zeller Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,	
25	LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG	
26	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC	
27		
28		
ı		

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document2314-1 Filed04/19/13 Page11 of 11

SUBJECT TO PROTECTIVE ORDER CONTAINS HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL – ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY INFORMATION

1 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 2 I hereby certify that on March 7, 2012, I caused **SAMSUNG'S AMENDED** 3 OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO APPLE INC.'S SIXTH SET OF 4 **INTERROGATORIES** (No. 14) to be electronically served on the following via email: 5 ATTORNEYS FOR APPLE INC. WHAppleSamsungNDCalService@wilmerhale.com AppleMoFo@mofo.com HAROLD J. MCELHINNY WILLIAM F. LEE hmcelhinny@mofo.com william.lee@wilmerhale.com MICHAEL A. JACOBS WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND mjacobs@mofo.com DORR LLP JENNIFER LEE TAYLOR 60 State Street Boston, Massachusetts 02109 itaylor@mofo.com ALISON M. TUCHER Telephone: (617) 526-6000 Facsimile: (617) 526-5000 atucher@mofo.com 10 RICHARD S.J. HUNG rhung@mofo.com MARK D. SELWYN 11 JASON R. BARTLETT mark.selwyn@wilmerhale.com WILMER CUTLER PICKERING HALE AND jasonbartlett@mofo.com MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP DORR LLP 950 Page Mill Road 425 Market Street San Francisco, California 94105-2482 Palo Alto, California 94304 Telephone: (650) 858-6000 Telephone: (415) 268-7000 Facsimile: (415) 268-7522 Facsimile: (650) 858-6100 15 16 17 I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed in 18 Redwood Shores, California on March 7, 2012. 19 /s/ Melissa N. Chan 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 Case No. 11-cv-01846-LHK

SAMSUNG'S AMENDED OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSE TO APPLE'S SIXTH SET OF INTERROGATORIES