Exhibit Q

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document462-24 Filed12/08/11 Page2 of 9

```
Page 1
1
                 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
               NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
3
                       SAN JOSE DIVISION
4
    APPLE INC., a California
    corporation,
5
6
               Plaintiff,
7
                                   Case No. 11-CV-01846-LHK
    VS.
    SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,
    a Korean business entity;
     SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA,
     INC., a New York corporation;
10
     SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS
    AMERICA, LLC, a Delaware
11
     limited liability company,
12
               Defendants.
13
14
15
16
                         CONFIDENTIAL
17
                      ATTORNEYS' EYES ONLY
18
                        OUTSIDE COUNSEL
19
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF IMRAN CHAUDHRI
                  Redwood Shores, California
20
                    Friday, October 14, 2011
21
22
23
    Reported by:
    LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR No. 10523, RPR, CRR, CCRR, CLR
24
    JOB NO. 42879
25
```

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document462-24 Filed12/08/11 Page3 of 9

```
Page 2
1
              October 14, 2011
2
                  9:35 a.m.
3
    Videotaped Deposition of IMRAN
5
     CHAUDHRI, held at the offices of Quinn
     Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP, 555
7
     Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor, Redwood
     Shores, California, before Lorrie L.
     Marchant, a Certified Shorthand
10
     Reporter, Registered Professional
11
     Reporter, Certified Realtime Reporter,
12
     California Certified Realtime Reporter
13
     and Certified LiveNote Reporter.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

```
Page 3
1
                    APPEARANCES:
2
3
    FOR THE PLAINTIFF APPLE INC.:
4
          MORRISON & FOERSTER
               MATTHEW KREEGER, ESQ.
5
          425 Market Street
          San Francisco, California 94105
6
7
8
    FOR THE DEFENDANTS SAMSUNG:
9
          QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN
               ALAN WHITEHURST, ESQ.
10
          1101 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
          Washington, D.C. 20004
11
12
    and
13
          BY:
              ALEX BAXTER, ESQ
               MARGRET CARUSO, ESQ.
14
               BRETT ARNOLD, ESQ.
          555 Twin Dolphin Drive
15
          Redwood Shores, California 94065
16
17
    and
18
               MICHAEL ZELLER, ESQ.
          BY:
19
          865 South Figueroa Street
          Los Angeles, California 90017
20
21
22
    ALSO PRESENT:
23
          Cyndi Wheeler, Apple IP Litigation Counsel
24
          Alan Dias, Videographer
25
                           ---000---
```

```
Page 4
1
              (Marked for identification purposes,
2
              Exhibit 570 through 572.)
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the video
    record at 9:34 a.m. In the matter of Apple Inc.,
    versus Samsung, in the United States District Court,
    Northern District of California. Case No.
7
    11-CV-01846-LHK.
              We are located today at 555 Twin Dolphin
    Drive, in the City of Redwood Shores, California.
10
    Today is October 14, 2011, and the time is 9:35 a.m.
11
             My name is Alan Dias from TSG Reporting.
12
              Counsel, would you please identify yourself
13
    for the record.
14
              MR. WHITEHURST: Good morning. My name
15
    Alan Whitehurst. And with me today is my colleague,
16
    Alex Baxter. We are with the law firm Ouinn
17
    Emanuel, and we represent Samsung.
18
             MR. KREEGER:
                            Matthew Kreeger, Morrison &
19
    Foerster, representing Apple. With me is
20
    Cyndi Wheeler from Apple.
21
              THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter
22
    please swear in the witness.
23
              THE REPORTER: Do you solemnly swear or
24
    affirm under the penalties of perjury that the
25
    testimony you are about to offer will be the truth,
```

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document462-24 Filed12/08/11 Page6 of 9

Confidential Attorneys' Eyes Only

Page 70

- Q. Would you agree, then, that more than one
- year before the filing date of your patent
- application that it was known to have user
- interfaces with more than one window?
- A. Yes.
- ⁶ Q. If you would please read aloud line 12 of
- 7 Column 10.
- 8 A. Starting a timer and -- shall I continue
- ⁹ on?
- Q. What is a timer?
- MR. KREEGER: Objection. Calls for a legal
- conclusion.
- Go ahead.
- THE WITNESS: A timer, as I understand it,
- is -- is something that counts down from a -- from a
- starting value to zero, typically.
- BY MR. WHITEHURST:
- 18 Q. If you would please read lines 13 and 14 of
- 19 Column 10, please.
- 20 A. Closing the first window in response to a
- determination that the timer expired.
- Q. What does it mean when it says "closing the
- first window"?
- MR. KREEGER: Objection. Calls for a legal
- conclusion.

```
Page 71
1
                           I don't really know.
              THE WITNESS:
2
              BY MR. WHITEHURST:
3
              In line 14, what it does it mean when it
        0.
    says "timer expired"?
              MR. KREEGER: Same objection.
              THE WITNESS: I don't know.
              BY MR. WHITEHURST:
              Is it unclear in line 14 what it means when
        Q.
    it says "timer expired"?
10
              I just don't know what it's referencing.
        Α.
11
    understand the timer. I understand that the timer
12
    expired. By taking you back to my earlier example,
13
    I take to it mean that it's gone to zero.
14
              And would the timer expire when it reaches
        0.
15
    zero?
16
              A timer, like my understanding of timers,
17
    would end at that point.
18
              If you would please read lines 15 through
        0.
    17 of Column 10, starting with the word "wherein"
20
    and ending with the word "system."
21
         Α.
              Wherein the first window does not close in
22
    response to any input from a user input device of
23
    the digital processing system.
24
              And what does this mean?
         Ο.
25
              MR. KREEGER:
                            Objection. Calls for a legal
```

```
Page 254
1
                         CERTIFICATE
2
         STATE OF CALIFORNIA )
3
                                    SS
         COUNTY OF SONOMA
5
          I, Lorrie L. Marchant, a Certified Shorthand
    Reporter, a Registered Professional Reporter, a
    Certified Realtime Reporter, and a Certified
    Realtime Professional within and for the State of
    California, do hereby certify:
10
          That IMRAN CHAUDHRI, the witness whose
11
    deposition is herein set forth, was duly
12
    sworn/affirmed by me and that such deposition is a
13
    true record of the testimony given by such witness.
14
          I further certify that I am not related to any
15
    of the parties to this action by blood or marriage
16
    and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of
17
    this matter.
18
          In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand
19
    this 15th day of October, 2011.
20
21
22
23
            LORRIE L. MARCHANT, CSR, RPR, CRR, CLR, CCRR
24
            CSR No. 10523
25
```

Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document462-24 Filed12/08/11 Page9 of 9

```
Page 253
1
     today's deposition. We are off the record at
     6:53 p.m.
                 The master disk will be held by TSG
3
     Reporting.
               (Time noted: 6:53 p.m.)
5
                             ---000---
6
7
                               IMRAN CHAUDHRI
9
10
           Subscribed and sworn to
          before me this
                                day
11
           of
                        2011.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```