1	QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP Charles K. Verhoeven (Cal. Bar No. 170151)			
2	charles K. Vernoeven (Car. Bai No. 170131) charlesverhoeven@quinnemanuel.com 50 California Street, 22 <sup>nd</sup> Floor			
3	San Francisco, California 94111			
4	Telephone: (415) 875-6600 Facsimile: (415) 875-6700			
5	Kevin P.B. Johnson (Cal. Bar No. 177129)			
6	kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com Victoria F. Maroulis (Cal. Bar No. 202603)			
7	victoriamaroulis@quinnemanuel.com 555 Twin Dolphin Drive 5 <sup>th</sup> Floor			
8	Redwood Shores, California 94065 Telephone: (650) 801-5000			
9	Facsimile: (650) 801-5100			
10	Michael T. Zeller (Cal. Bar No. 196417) michaelzeller@quinnemanuel.com			
11	865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor Los Angeles, California 90017			
12	Telephone: (213) 443-3000 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100			
13	Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS			
14	CO., LŤD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG			
15	TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC			
16	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
17	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION			
18				
19	APPLE INC., a California corporation,	CASE NO. 11-cv-01846-LHK		
20	Plaintiff,	SAMSUNG'S REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL		
21	vs.	NOTICE REGARDING APPLE'S CLAIM CONSTRUCTION POSITIONS ON U.S		
22	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., a	PATENT NO. 7,469,381		
23	Korean business entity; SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., a New			
24	York corporation; SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA,			
25	LLC, a Delaware limited liability company,			
26	Defendant.			
27				
28				
/ (1)				

02198.51855/4559139.4

Pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 201, Defendants Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC (collectively "Samsung") respectfully request that the Court take judicial notice of the following:

- 1. Complainant Apple's Non-Confidential Complaint in *Certain Portable Electronic Devices and Related Software*, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797, United States International Trade Commission, dated July 8, 2011 ("ITC Complaint"), attached hereto as Exhibit 1.
- 2. Exhibit 20 filed in support of Complainant Apple's Non-Confidential Complaint in *Certain Portable Electronic Devices and Related Software*, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797, United States International Trade Commission ("ITC Claim Chart"), attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

In addition, a video recording of the Apple iPhone Stocks Application, as shown to the Court during the claim construction hearing on January 20, 2012, is attached hereto as Exhibit 3.

Despite being responsive to several of Samsung's discovery requests relating to U.S. Patent No. 7,469,381 ("381 patent"), Apple has not produced the ITC Complaint or ITC Claim Chart to Samsung nor did Apple inform the Court of these highly relevant documents during the preliminary injunction or claim construction proceedings in this action. Samsung's counsel became aware of these documents just days before the claim construction hearing and promptly brought them to the attention of the Court at the hearing.

## BASIS FOR REQUESTING JUDICIAL NOTICE

During the January 20, 2012 claim construction hearing, Apple argued that for the '381 patent, content cannot appear beyond the edge of an "electronic document" and that an electronic document cannot have an "internal" edge. Apple also argued that a digital image is not an "electronic document" when it is embedded within a larger electronic document, such as a web page. Apple's arguments contradict the positions it took in the ITC Complaint and ITC Claim Chart. See Certain Portable Electronic Devices and Related Software, ITC Inv. No. 337-TA-797.

02198.51855/4559139.4

02198.51855/4559139

See ITC Claim Chart at 4-6.

55/4559139.4		
	-3-	Case No. 10-CV-03251-LHK
		REQUEST FOR JUDICIAL NOTICE

In the ITC Complaint and ITC Claim Chart, Apple represented that the Stocks application on the iPhone practices claim 1 of the '381 patent and provided a claim chart purporting to show how the Stocks application meets each limitation of claim 1. *See* ITC Complaint ¶ 69 and ITC Claim Chart. As shown in the ITC claim chart, the Stocks application occupies the entire display and is an electronic document that has external edges. Embedded within the Stocks application are three additional electronic documents: a stocks list (upper portion of touch screen display), a graph (lower-middle portion of touch screen display) and a menu bar (lowermost portion of touch screen display). Apple alleged in its ITC Claim Chart that the embedded stocks list is an "electronic document" and that the bottom edge of the stocks list is an "edge of an electronic document." The stocks list has an internal edge within the Stocks application document, and content exists beyond this internal edge. The stocks list bounces back when a finger is removed from the touch screen as shown below.



(Bounce-Back Scrolling in iPhone Stock Application When Finger Is Removed From Touch Screen.)

Thus, Apple has represented in its ITC claim charts that an electronic document (*i.e.* the stocks list) may be embedded within another electronic document (*i.e.* the Stocks application), that

an "internal" edge can be an edge of an electronic document, and that content (i.e. the graph below

## Case5:11-cv-01846-LHK Document695 Filed01/31/12 Page4 of 5

the stocks list) may appear beyond the edge of an electronic document. These assertions contradict Apple's proposed claim construction in this case.<sup>1</sup>

In ruling on claim construction, this Court may take judicial notice of Exhibits 1 and 2 pursuant to Fed. R. Evid. 201 because each are public filings in an administrative proceeding that are capable of accurate and ready determination. *See* Fed. R. Evid. 201; *Kurtcu v. U.S. Parking Inc.*, 2008 WL 2445080 (N.D. Cal. 2008) ("[J]udicial notice may be taken of orders and decisions taken by other courts and administrative agencies.") (citing *Papai v. Harbor Tug & Barge Co.*, 67 F.3d 203, 207 (9th Cir. 1995) (overruled on other grounds)). Because Exhibits 1 and 2 are highly relevant to the claim construction issues relating to '381 patent and Apple failed to disclose both to Samsung and the Court, Samsung respectfully requests that the Court take judicial notice of these documents at this time.

12

13

14

15

11

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

DATED: January 31, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP

16

17

18

19

20

21

2223

24

By /s/ Charles K. Verhoeven

Charles K. Verhoeven Kevin P.B. Johnson Victoria F. Maroulis Michael T. Zeller

Attorneys for SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. and SAMSUNG TELECOMMUNICATIONS AMERICA, LLC

25

27

 $28 \parallel \text{F}$ 

At the January 20, 2012 claim construction hearing, Apple appeared to argue that the Stocks application display is not an electronic document and therefore the edge between the stocks list and the graph below the stocks list is not an internal edge. Apple's argument is directly contradicted by the Stocks application display itself, which is plainly an electronic document, and by the testimony of Apple's own expert and inventor. Samsung's Resp. Claim Const. Br. at 7; Balakrishnan Dep. 157:19-158:20, Ex. 104; Ording Dep. 20:18-21:3.

1	GENERAL ORDER 45 ATTESTATION		
2	I, Victoria F. Maroulis, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file this		
3	Declaration. In compliance with General Order 45(X)(B), I hereby attest that Charles K.		
4	Verhoeven has concurred in this filing.		
5	/s/ Victoria Maroulis		
6			
7			
8			
9			
0			
1			
2			
3			
.4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	02198.51855/4559139.4		